

Byram, Karen	Florida DOT	Chair	Voting
Roskam, Natalie	North Carolina DOT	Vice Chair	Voting
Sirianni, Jonathan	AASHTO	Liaison	None
Dixon, Kidada C.	Alabama DOT	Member	Voting
Ingram, Steven	Alabama DOT	Member	Non-Voting
Paul Sullivan	Arizona DOT	Member	Non-Voting
William Faber (Replacing Maysa)	Arizona DOT	Member	Non-Voting
Hanna, Maysa F.	Arizona DOT	Member	Non-Voting
Huang, Stephanie	Arizona DOT	Member	Voting
Webb, Todd	Delaware DOT	Member	Voting
Robinson-Perry, Erany Lavonda	Georgia DOT	Member	Non-Voting
Khoda, Mahbub E	Iowa DOT	Member	Voting
Young, Brad	Ohio DOT	Member	Voting
Simon, Jozsef T.	Tennessee DOT	Member	Voting
Clayton, Darby	West Virginia DOT	Member	Non-Voting
Rublein, John	Wisconsin DOT	Member	Voting
Stenko, Mike	TRANSCO Industries, Inc.	Other	None

- Colors on Flowchart (separate document)
  - Blue squares are where it is identical to the TP103 flow chart
  - Gold is where Karen is proposing changes, based on original DWS Work Plan
- Because of the desire to change to a concrete paver to install the Surface Applied DWS on, would need to ballot this change and insert it into Work Plan
  - Discussion on whether laboratory should mix the concrete and install the DWS
    - We can leave the concrete in and see where the cost ends up with RFP
- How do people feel about size? Going from 2ft x 2ft to a 1ft x 1ft
  - Makes a smaller sample which allows more products to be tested at once, and will help bring down the cost per test
  - Some products may end up asymmetrical if you try to cut the sample down
    - Not sure if the manufacturers make a 1ft x 1ft version of their products
- Some discussion about the need for testing for snowplow durability
  - Group agreed that this testing could be omitted.
- Discussion about Slip Resistance and the need to perform all of these tests on cast iron as well as the other types of DWS
  - Led to talking about dry set systems and that they should also be accounted for within the program.
  - Cast iron should maintain most of the tests, can opt-out of wear resistance
- Exposure testing that were proposed changes from TP103 were mentioned and no opposition voiced
  - Abrasion to simulate foot traffic
  - Freeze/Thaw having a brine solution (3%) rather than just water (***This is actually already in TP103***)
  - UV for only 1000hrs instead of 1500hrs... Anything over 1000hrs is overkill, because you notice any poor performance within that first 1000hrs
  - see above
- FM-5-589
  - Epoxy a disc (3-4 in. in diameter) to the surface and sand it smooth. Use a device to pull it off, record the amount of force needed to take it off, and the type of failure
- Draft of Work Plan is already being put together. Will be done and able to be reviewed prior to the October 4<sup>th</sup> meeting.